

### **REMARKS**

This submission is responsive to the Office Action mailed August 1, 2005 in connection with the above-identified application. Claims 2-5, 8-12 are pending in this case, claim 1, 6-7 are canceled, claim 13 has been added.

#### **Claims 8-10 Objections**

Claims 8-10 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claims, but allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 8 has been rewritten to include base claim and intervening claims and is respectfully submitted as being allowable. Claims 1, 6-7 have been canceled. Claims 2-5 and 9-10 is respectfully submitted as being allowable for depending from the proposed allowable claim.

#### **Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. § 103**

In the Action, claims 1,6,7,11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzpatrick et al in view of Silvers and Bonk. Applicant has canceled Claim 1, 11 & 12, claims 6 and 7 are dependant upon the proposed allowable claims.

Claim 2 and 3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzpatrick et al in view of Silvers and Bonk. Applicant has amended Claim 2 and 3 to be dependant upon Claim 8, an allowable claim.

Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzpatrick et al in view of Silvers and Bonk in view of Foos. Applicant has amended Claim 4 to be dependant upon Claim 8, an allowable claim. Applicant has canceled Claim 1, 11 & 12, claims 6 and 7 are dependant upon the proposed allowable claims.

The Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of Claim 13 which is added as the prior art fails to disclose a 13 a dispenser having a removable inner box inverted in a container defining a first compartment for holding a stack of moist towelettes and a second compartment for holding a stack of dry towelettes, the inner box having a first opening for use in removal of the moist towelettes and a second opening for use in the removal of dry towelettes.

#### Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failing to show every feature of the invention, namely for support of claims 11 and 12. Claims 11 and 12 have been canceled. It is believed this is responsive to the Examiner's objection and the drawings as submitted provide support for the claims remaining in the application including Claim 13.


**Conclusion**

The Applicant respectfully submits that the application now stands in condition for allowance. The Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned in order to discuss any further objections, allowing Applicant to expedite a response.

Respectfully submitted,

MCHALE & SLAVIN, P.A.

12/20/05  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael A. Slavin  
Reg. No. 34,016

McHale & Slavin, P.A.  
2855 PGA Blvd.  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410  
(561) 625-6575 - VOICE  
(561) 625-6572 - FAX